## **Appendix 1 Corporate Risk Report November 2017**

**Generated on:** 29 November 2017



Risk Code & Description	Effect	Risk Owner	Current Risk Matrix	Control Action	Control Action Owner	Status	Target Risk Matrix	Quarterly Update
				CRR.01.1 Review current budgets in preparation for the 2018/19 budget (October Annually)	Dominic Bradley			November 2017 Update:  A balanced budget for 2017/18 was approved at Cabinet on 26 January 2017 and Council on 15 February 2017. This incorporated many of the efficiency and additional income plans worked on during the year.
				CRR.01.2 Develop options to deal with pressure for consideration by Members	Dominic Bradley			
	Reductions in funding			CRR.01.3 Implement the Medium Term Plan	Dominic Bradley			The MTFS update in Nov 2017
CRR01a Financial Cause: The Council is reliant on Central Controlled Government funding (e.g. Business Rates).	Adverse effect on morale	Jane Eaton	Impact	CRR.01.4 Ongoing monitoring under the Service Efficiency Board (Future Horsham)	Dominic Bradley		Likelihood	forecasts small surpluses through to 2019/20 on the expectation that central funding from the government's four year settlement is delivered and that the proposed plans to deliver further efficiencies and income are implemented. The Council's acceptance of the 2015/16 four year settlement during 2016 has helped to provide a degree of certainty for the next three years, in as much as the Revenue Support Grant and the baseline Business Rates funding reduction from £2.2m in 2017/18 to £1.4m in 2019/20 should not get any worse during this period.
Risk: (i) Failure to achieve the required level of savings and income in the MTFS to 2019/20	Failure to achieve agreed objectives		Likelihood	CRR.01.5 Productivity & commercialisation projects reviews being undertaken, each of which will provide recommendations (programme of reviews to be completed by 30/09/18)	Chris Lyons			
CRR01b Financial Cause: The Council is reliant on Central Controlled Government funding (eg. Business Rates).  Risk: (ii) Funding from Government is less generous than assumed in the MTFS from 2020	Reductions in funding  Adverse effect on morale  Financial  Failure to achieve agreed objectives		Likelihood	CRR.01b.1 Continue to keep a watching brief	Dominic Bradley		Tikelihood	November 2017 Update:  Uncertainty beyond 2019/20, especially with regards to the localisation (100%) retention of business rates remains a significant area of concern. This will be reviewed as information and guidance on how the scheme will work is released. An updated MTFS will be brought back to Members as soon as more is known.  A West-Sussex bid for a county

Risk Code & Description	Effect	Risk Owner	Current Risk Matrix	Control Action	Control Action Owner	Status	Target Risk Matrix	Quarterly Update
								wide pilot scheme for the localisation of Business Rates has been submitted for 2018/19; currently awaiting further information on the outcome of this.
CRR02 Managerial / Professional Cause: The Council has a legal obligation to protect personal				CRR.02.1 Develop appropriate processes & procedures which underpin the IT Security Policy	Andrea Curson			November 2017 Update:  CRR02.2 GDPR project set up with Reps from every department meeting regularly and working within departments to prepare for new regulations in May 2018.  Strategy is evolving as guidance and best practice becomes available.
data. The Information Commissioner has the power to levy significant financial penalties up to £500k for data breaches. These powers are much more far reaching when they change in	People and businesses come to harm and suffer loss that might not otherwise have		Likelihood	CRR.02.2 Develop Strategy for implementation of General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) (to follow)	Jane Eaton			
May 2018. <u>Risk 1</u> : Major data breach or leak of sensitive information to a third party.	occurred Complaints / claims / litigation	Jane		CRR.02.3 Provide a programme of training on Information Security to all staff.	Robert Laban		Likelihood	CRR02.3 HDC's e-learning module on data protection is mandatory for all staff. New training for the new GDPR is now available and has been shared with the Project Board and GDPR department representatives. This will be rolled out to all staff by end Dec 17.  As Councillors have individual responsibility for complying with GDPR, HDC will be assisting by providing appropriate GDPR training.
Risk 2: Risk of significant ICO fine for non-compliance with new General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) Some contraventions will be subject to administrative fines of up to €10,000,000 or, in the case of undertakings, 2% of global turnover, whichever is the higher. Others will be subject to administrative fines of up to €20,000,000 or, in the case of	Resources consumed in defending claims Financial losses Fines from regulators Adverse publicity Reputation damage	Eaton		CRR.02.4 Annual PSN Accreditation	Andrea Curson			
undertakings, 4% of global turnover, whichever is the higher.								CRR02.4 PSN accredited for 2017/18, next due Mar/April 18.
CRR03 Legal <u>Cause</u> : The Civil Contingencies Act				CRR.03.1 Update corporate business continuity plan and regular review.	Trevor Beadle			November 2017 Update:  CRR.03.01 – Full review due in
places a legal obligation upon the Council, with partners, to assess the risk of, plan, and exercise for emergencies, as well as undertaking emergency and	come to harm and suffer loss that might not otherwise have occurred	Trevor Beadle	5	CRR.03.2 Update departmental business continuity plans and regular review.	Trevor Beadle		5	February 2018  CRR.03.02 – All managers advised to update departmental BCP's in September/October. Full audit to be
business continuity management. The Council is also responsible for warning and informing the public in relation to emergencies, and for advising local businesses.	Complaints / claims /	-53310	Likelihood	CRR.03.4 Build IT disaster recovery procedure into new Hop Oast development (warm site). Further plan revision will be made to reflect changes.	Trevor Beadle		Likelihood	conducted by EPO in November.  CRR.03.04 – No IT Disaster Recovery plan in place. Further work required with IT services and SLT.

Risk Code & Description	Effect	Risk Owner	Current Risk Matrix	Control Action	Control Action Owner	Status	Target Risk Matrix	Quarterly Update
Risk: The Council is found to have failed to fulfil its obligations under the Act in the event of a civil contingency.	consumed in defending claims Financial losses Censure by			CRR.03.5 Bitesize workshops in 2017 and 2018 to address new procedures and processes and all SLT and heads of service will be invited to attend.	Trevor Beadle			CRR.03.05 – booked in and now taking place.  CRR.03.06 – changes have been accounted for.
	regulators Reputation damaged			CRR.03.6 Pick up Emergency Planning changes due to changes to Heads of Service (e.g. Mark Pritchard, Paul Cummins leaving)	Trevor Beadle			
	Failure of			CRR.05.1 Officer training	Jane Eaton			
CRR05 Governance Cause: Managers are responsible	business objectives Health & Safety Financial		Likelihood	CRR.05.2 Raise the profile of risk and control by incorporating them into the performance management framework (e.g. integrate into appraisal process).	Jane Eaton	<b>&gt;</b>	Likelihood	November 2017 Update:  Risk complete, retain on register to keep in view
for ensuring that controls to mitigate risks are consistently applied.  Risk: Officers are either unaware of expected controls or do not comply with control procedures.	Service Delivery  Compliance with Regulations  Personal Privacy Infringement  Reputation damage	Jane Eaton		CRR.05.3 All Service Managers required to sign an Assurance Statement. (By 30th June Annually) (Cyclical)	Jane Eaton			
CRR06 Physical Cause: The Council is responsible for the health & safety of its clients, staff and other	People come to harm Complaints/clai ms/ litigation			CRR.06.2 Develop and implement a corporate inspection strategy (By 30/06/16).	Robert Laban / Health & Safety Officer			November 2017 Update:  CRR.06.2 -Corporate H&S Adviser continues to inspect HDC premises; ongoing.
stakeholders, owns and maintains significant assets, and also has responsibility for H&S in some partner organisations where it does not have operational control.	Financial losses Censure by audit / inspection Reputation	Jane Eaton	Likelihood	CRR.06.3 Clarity of responsibilities and implementation of a training programme	Robert Laban		Likelihood	CRR.06.3 - H&S responsibilities are set out in the Corporate H&S Policy and H&S subject policies. Directorate H&S Working Groups
Risk: A health & safety failure	damage Adverse effect			CRR.06.4 Implement a central repository for risk	Robert Laban /			are responsible for implementing these policies. Team self-audits to

Risk Code & Description	Effect	Risk Owner	Current Risk Matrix	Control Action	Control Action Owner	Status	Target Risk Matrix	Quarterly Update
occurs.	on morale Stress and absenteeism			assessments	Health & Safety Officer			commence from 2018/19.  H&S Training matrix has been published as part of the corporate competencies framework.  E-learning courses for H&S key topics are accessible via Horsham LAB.  CRR.06.04 - The introduction of a central repository for risk assessments remains deferred until the introduction of Office 365 in 2017/18.
CRR07 Managerial / Professional <u>Cause</u> : There is a lack of	Failure of business			CRR.07.1 Specific contract management guidelines will be developed.	Jane Eaton			
corporate consistency in terms of the way in which contracts are managed, and contract management is inadequate in some areas.  Risk: Failure of contract / poor service delivery / failure to achieve value for money.	objectives Financial Service delivery Compliance with regulations Personal Privacy Infringement Reputation damage	Jane Eaton	Likelihood	CRR.07.2 A contract management training programme will be designed and implemented.	Jane Eaton		ਹ ਹ Likelihood	November 2017 update:  SLT have agreed to remove this risk.
CRR12 Partnership / Supplier / Contractual				CRR.12.1 Staff training	Jo Newton- Smith			
<u>Cause:</u> The Council is subject to EU procurement rules and regulations, is putting more services out to tender, and	Financial losses			CRR.12.2 Up-to-date procedures (by 31/7/17).	Jo Newton- Smith			
contractors are increasingly challenging contract awards.	Censure by audit / inspection Reputation damage Adverse effect on morale	Eaton bed	o de	CRR.12.3 Reference to Procurement Team for advice (ongoing)	Jo Newton- Smith		Impact	November 2017 Update:  SLT have agreed to remove this
<u>Risk:</u> A contractor successfully challenges an award (e.g. on inflexible price:quality ratios).			直 Likelihood	CRR.12.4 Proactive monitoring by the Procurement Team.	Jo Newton- Smith		直 Likelihood	risk.

Risk Code & Description	Effect	Risk Owner	Current Risk Matrix	Control Action	Control Action Owner	Status	Target Risk Matrix	Quarterly Update
				CRR.14.1 Ensure that leisure priorities are understood within the CIL schedule process and keep under review	Trevor Beadle			November 2017 Update: The CIL Charging Schedule was implemented on 1 October 2017.
CRR14				CRR.14.2 Identify the impact of funding erosion with competing partners e.g. WSCC	Barbara Childs			CIL Procedures Working Group continues to meets regularly to ensure that implementation issues can be considered.
Customer/Citizen Cause: The negotiation of Section 106 and CIL (Community Infrastructure Levy) are essential for ensuring necessary level of infrastructure provision for residents.  Risk: Failure to negotiate the optimum outcome. Failure to deliver the infrastructure needs of the District	Reduced funding to deliver outcomes for the community	Chris Lyons	Likelihood	CRR.14.3 Update the Planning Obligations SPD (Supplementary Planning Document) and CIL charging schedule.	Barbara Childs		Likelihood	The Planning Obligations and Affordable Housing SPD was adopted on 1 October 2017.  Note: Housing White Paper of 7 February 2017 indicates there is likelihood that Government will change CIL system in the Autumn Statement 2017, based on the CIL Report that was published alongside White Paper. There is likely to be a two year transition period to any new system when CIL can then be reviewed alongside the Local Plan Review. No further update has been provided on the timetable for any change.  SLT have agreed to remove this risk.
CRR17 <u>Cause:</u> The External Auditors audit the	Compliance with regulations Reputation			CRR.17.1 Increase / improve the level of quality control checking.	Peter Stuart	<b>②</b>		November 2017 Update:  SLT have agreed to remove this risk.
HDC Benefits Grant Subsidy return to the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) on an annual basis to identify errors. Targeted sample testing is		Jane	5	CRR.17.2 Continued implementation of the Census Quality Plan which came out of the 2013/14 audit.	Peter Stuart		7	
undertaken to ensure that housing benefit claims have been correctly administered, and extended sample testing is carried out should errors be identified. The amount of the error is then		regulations	n to Likelihood	CRR.17.3 A reassessment of all "Working Age In Work" cases will be undertaken by the end of May 2017.	Peter Stuart	<b>&gt;</b>	Likelihood	
extrapolated across the entire population (for that particular cell)					CRR.17.4 Explore options for future service provision	Jane Eaton	<b>②</b>	

Risk Code & Description	Effect	Risk Owner	Current Risk Matrix	Control Action	Control Action Owner	Status	Target Risk Matrix	Quarterly Update
to produce an estimate of the total error amount. Our Subsidy Claim was qualified in 2015/16 and financial penalties occurred.  Risk: Errors may be made which are not identified by quality control checking. This may result in the Benefit Subsidy claim being qualified and/or financial losses.  Refer to new risk CRR25				CRR.17.6 Complete successful transfer to new provider	Jane Eaton			
				CRR.18.1 Staff Training	Claire Oliver / Robert Laban			November 2017 Update:  PSN submission complete. Remediation ongoing Patching of devices ongoing
	Loss of key systems resulting in disruption to	eystems 'esulting in listruption to Council services. Cost of		CRR.18.2 Awareness of current threats	Andrea Curson		Dikelihood	
CRR 18 Technological Cause: Council services are increasingly reliant on IT systems	Council services.			CRR.18.3 An effective ICT Service delivery team	Andrea Curson			
at a time when there are greater opportunities for malicious attackers to exploit security weaknesses.	investigation and recovery of systems. Fraud/theft.		O	CRR.18.4 Effective patching and updates to mitigate known vulnerabilities	Andrea Curson			
Risk 1: A malicious attacker exploits a known or unknown	Loss of the integrity of Council Records	integrity of Council Records. Exposure of sensitive/perso nal data resulting in penalties from the ICO. Reputational or political		CRR.18.5 Compliance with expected security standards. (PSN, PCI-DSS)	Andrea Curson			
security weakness to penetrate the Council's ICT systems.  Risk 2: IT not working due to environmental problems: fire,	Exposure of sensitive/perso nal data resulting in			CRR.18.6 Effective policies in place which outline security requirements for users of ICT	Andrea Curson			
flood, power cut	the ICO. Reputational or political damage from			CRR.18.7 Effective back-up and recovery processes in place for Council ICT systems.	Andrea Curson			
	adverse media coverage.	1 1		CRR.18.8 The CenSus Cloud will transfer the risks to the cloud provider	Andrea Curson			

Risk Code & Description	Effect	Risk Owner	Current Risk Matrix	Control Action	Control Action Owner	Status	Target Risk Matrix	Quarterly Update
CRR19 Cause: Uncertainty in the UK and World economy. The Government				CRR19.2 Monitor the external environment	Dominic Bradley			
has spoken about an additional 5% reduction in local government funding, and further cuts in years to come.	Financial Service			CRR19.3 Monitor internal indicators, particularly income generation	Dominic Bradley			November 2017 Update: The uncertain economic
Risk: The impact on the financial markets and the pound could bring forward the next recession and cause a slowdown in the housing market. This may result in a reduction in planning fees; reduced car parking income; increased homelessness; and increased housing benefit claims.	Delivery Compliance with Regulations	Jane Eaton	Likelihood	CRR19.4 Future Horsham focuses on productivity and commercialisation reviews; these will provide recommendations going forward. (Programme of reviews to be completed by 30.09.2018)	Chris Lyons		Likelihood	environment is being continually monitored and changes will be reported in any MTFS update and regular quarterly budget and performance monitoring to Overview and Scrutiny Committee.
				CRR.20.1 Monitor and control Joint tender process (with A&WDC) in line with procurement requirements.	Dominic Bradley	<b>②</b>		November 2017 Update: The new FMS went live on 5 September 2017.
CRR20	Incorrect data			CRR.20.2 Training and implementation for finance users. Parallel running of old and new system.	Dominic Bradley			The migration of data went relatively smoothly, with few discrepancies, but took longer than anticipated due to the volumes
Technological Cause: There is an inherent risk when significant financial systems change. Current contract for Financial Management System	migration Data inaccuracies Inaccurate reporting and decision- making Failure to achieve agree objectives and deliver statutory services • Poor VfM			CRR.20.3 Training and implementation for all Council users to enable access and self-service usage of new system.	Dominic Bradley			involved.  The basic transactional processes are working ok, although progress is a little slow as users get to grips
(FMS) expires November 2017.  Risk: System that has not been adequately tested or is without		naking ailure to chieve agree bjectives and eliver tatutory ervices • Poor	Likelihood	CRR.20.4 Project management and sufficient testing time incorporated into plan.	Dominic Bradley		Likelihood	with new processes and ways of working. Learning is ongoing. Small improvements to the system are also being made as issues are identified.  The full functionality of budgeting and reporting has been slightly
the right level of functionality goes live. Lack of integration with other systems that requires significant systems re-processing.				CRR.20.5 Ensure procedure notes for new system and skills are maintained.	Dominic Bradley			
			CRR.20.6 Providing effective systems support	Dominic Bradley			and reporting has been slightly delayed as a consequence of focussing on the transactional side.  The Council has extended access to the asset module in the old system for a further year before this is transferred across.	

Risk Code & Description	Effect	Risk Owner	Current Risk Matrix	Control Action	Control Action Owner	Status	Target Risk Matrix	Quarterly Update
CRR24 Cause: The implementation of alternate weekly collections is a major change of service delivery with a high level of complexity that affects all residents Risk: Failure to successfully roll out the Alternate Weekly Collections project. There are multiple risks around late delivery; cost impact and reputation	Failure of business objectives Financial Service Delivery Reputation Environmental	Adam Chalmers	Likelihood	CRR.24.1 Control actions identified in project risk register	Adam Chalmers		Dikelihood	New risk
CRR25 <u>Cause</u> : The transition from Mid				CRR.25.1 Effective project delivery teams at HDC and LGSS	Jane Eaton			
Sussex DC (who currently host the service for HDC residents) to the new provider (LGSS) is complex, with many inter-related dependencies including HR matters and notential data / and	Service Delivery Reputation		Likelihood	CRR.25.2 Continue to work collaboratively with MSDC to ensure each council has effective teams in place for the future delivery of their service	Jane Eaton		Impact	New Risk
Risk: that transfer of Revenues and Benefits service cannot be completed and / or does not go smoothly by 1 April 2018.				CRR.25.3 Ensure successful transfer of customer data - HDC has good in-house skills and will benefit from LGSS's experience transferring other LA's data	Jane Eaton		Likelihood	